

Newspaper Fund Scholarship and participated in the Fund's internship program. She joined UPI in Jackson, Mississippi in 1975.

Povich is married to Ronald Dziengiel, a manager with Westinghouse Electric Co., and lives in Laurel, Maryland. They have one child, Mark Dziengiel, age 3.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHUSTER). Pursuant to a previous announcement, the Chair will announce this will be the last 1-minute until the end of the day.

TERM LIMITS: AN IDEA WHOSE TIME HAS COME

(Mr. DEAL of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DEAL of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, term limits for Members of Congress is an idea whose time has come. We have seen 22 States attempt to limit the membership in this body by statutory law within their States. Those limitations have ranged from 6 years to 12 years, with variations in between.

□ 1050

We have seen constitutional amendments proposed in this body that likewise range from 6 years to 12 years with variations in between.

Today, Mr. Speaker, I, along with the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. MINGE], the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. MEEHAN], and the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. KINGSTON], have introduced a proposed constitutional amendment that would set an outward boundary of 12 years for membership in both this body and the body across the way. But it also has the unique provision of allowing States the authority by statute to set any limitation less than that that they choose.

I say to my colleagues: If you believe in States rights, if you believe in federalism, if you believe in term limits that allow States flexibility, I would urge you to join with us in cosponsoring this constitutional amendment.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHUSTER). Without objection and pursuant to the provisions of sections 5580 and 5581 of the revised statutes, 20 U.S.C. 42-43, the Chair, on behalf of the Speaker, appoints as members of the Board of Regents of the Smithsonian Institution the following Members on the part of the House:

Mr. LIVINGSTON of Louisiana, Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas, and Mr. MINETA of California.

There was no objection.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE RESOLUTION 43, TO PERMIT COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN TO SCHEDULE HEARINGS

Mr. SOLOMON, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 104-6) on the resolution (H. Res. 47) providing for the consideration of the resolution (H. Res. 43) to amend clause 2(g)(3) of House Rule XI to permit committee chairmen to schedule hearings, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION AMENDING HOUSE RULES TO PERMIT COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN TO SCHEDULE HEARINGS

Mr. SOLOMON, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 104-5) on the resolution (H. Res. 43) to amend clause 2(g)(3) of House Rule XI to permit committee chairmen to schedule hearings, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

UNFUNDED MANDATE REFORM ACT OF 1995

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 38 and rule XXIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 5.

□ 1052

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5) to curb the practice of imposing unfunded Federal mandates on States and local governments, to ensure that the Federal Government pays the costs incurred by those governments in complying with certain requirements under Federal statutes and regulations, and to provide information on the cost of Federal mandates on the private sector, and for other purposes, with Mr. EMERSON in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Tuesday, January 24, 1995, the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from New York [Mr. OWENS] has been disposed of, and section 4 was open for amendment at any point.

Are their further amendments to section 4?

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Missouri [Mr. VOLKMER] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Chairman, I yield to the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. HILLIARD].

MEDICARE

Mr. HILLIARD. Mr. Chairman, today I rise to challenge my colleagues not to

forget about a constituency of this Nation that looks to us to fulfill our obligation to them. This obligation is the preservation of the Medicare program. All of us, as citizens, owe a debt to those who have come before us, our senior citizens, and made this country what it is, and we must not sacrifice their needs to pay for our excesses. Passing a balanced budget constitutional amendment without specifying where the target cuts are will tie our hands as a Congress and jeopardize the fulfillment of our pledges to the senior citizens of this Nation. We have pledged to take care of the elderly and the infirm so that they and their families will not have to shoulder the burden of their illnesses alone.

We must remember those persons who have entrusted us with this trust. We must not forsake them when they need us most. It is our duty to preserve this fund and protect those who are under our care. I ask the U.S. Senate and the President not to forsake them.

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CLINGER. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, I know that Members are happy and excited at the prospect that we are going to be dealing with unfunded mandates again today. A little Friday morning sarcasm, Mr. Chairman, because I really expect that the reverse is actually the case. We are hearing on both sides of the aisle that there is hope that we can come to a conclusion on this very important legislation, so I sense the reverse is true, and I would ask my colleagues, "Who else really wants to see an end to this process?" That would be the Nation's Governors, both Republicans and Democrats; the Nation's mayors, again both Republicans and Democrats; the Nation's county commissioners, the Nation's township supervisors, both Republicans and Democrats who really want to see this bill moved through the process.

They are faced with some very hard choices, Mr. Chairman. They have to, in many cases, decide whether to continue or reduce a very vital local program in order to carry out a Federal mandate that is imposed upon them from here in Washington, and I must say, Mr. Chairman, that the passage of the balanced budget amendment last evening makes this an even more urgent requirement. They are going to need relief from the unfunded mandates situation because their concern is with the balanced budget amendment we may just accelerate our ability, our wish, to pass through requirements that we are not going to be able to fund because of the balanced budget amendment.

So, it is the local mayors, Governors and so forth, that are really crying out for this legislation, and quite frankly, Mr. Chairman, in talking with the